orange solution. Heating was stopped after **20** min., the mixture was cooled to room temperature, and the precipitates were filtered, The precipitates were heated with **20**  ml. of benzene and filtered hot with suction. Insoluble matter was washed with benzene and dried, m.p. 115-117°, yield, 1 g. **(11%).** After five successive crystallizations from benzene, white needles melting with decomposition at **110- 114'** were obtained,

The acid hydrolysis of ethylenedi-p-toluenesulfonamide was worked up as described previously. Acetaldehyde 2,4 dinitrophenylhydrazone and p-toluenesulfonamide **(85.8%)**  were obtained.

*N-a-Butoxyethyl-N-methyl-p-toluenesuljonamide* (IX). A mixture of **111** g. **(0.6** mole) of N-methyl-p-toluenesulfonamide, **240** g. **(2.4** moles) of n-butyl vinyl ether and **0.6** ml. of concentrated hydrochloric acid was heated at **60'** for **2.5** hr., allowed to cool, washed successively with **5%** sodium hydroxide solution and water, and dried over sodium sulfate overnight. Vacuum distillation under nitrogen yielded **144.2** g. (84%) of product, b.p. **162-184' (5.5** mm.). During the distillation, slight decomposition was observed. An analytical sample was prepared by redistillation, b.p. 160- **164' (4** mm.).

The same procedure was used for the acid hydrolysis of (IX) as described previously. Acetaldehyde 2,4dinitrophenylhydrazone (91%) and N-methyl-p-toluenesulfonamide' **(77%)** were identified.

*N-Vin?/l-N-methyl-p-toluenesulfonamide.* A sample of **144**  g. **(0.505** mole) of **N-a-butoxyethyl-N-methyl-p-toluene**sulfonamide was heated in a Claisen flask under a pressure

of **22-30** mm. The bath temperature was maintained at **250- 260'.** The imidoether distilled with decomposition to give 1-butanol and a yellow, oily fraction, b.p. **196-198' (22- 30** mm.). Redistillation of the oil yielded **93** g. **(87%)** of crude product, b.p. **150-157' (4** mm.), which was recrystallized from petroleum ether-benzene to give white crystals, m.p. 56-57.7°

 $A$ nal. Calcd. for C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>13</sub>O<sub>2</sub>NS: C, 56.84; H, 6.20; N, 6.63. Found: C, **56.92;** H, **6.31;** N, **6.57.** 

*Polymerization.* **N-Vinyl-N-methyl-p-toluenesulfonamide**  was heated with **2%** benzoylperoxide for **7** hr. on a boiling water bath, but failed to polymerize. On the other hand, when a few drops of an ether solution of boron trifluoride etherate were added to an ether solution of the vinyl sulfonamide, a white polymer deposited, which was insoluble in ether, acetone, and benzene.

<sup>1</sup>*N-a-Ethoxyethylcarbazole.* A **13.4** g. (0.08 mole) sample of carbazole was treated with **34.6** g. **(0.48** mole) of ethyl vinyl ether for **5** hr. at 180' by the same procedure as in the case of dicarboxylic acid imide. There was obtained 8.8 g. **(540/,)** of crude product, b.p. **163-169' (3** mm.). After recrystallization from ethanol, it melted at **74-74.5'.** 

*Acknowledgment.* This study has been supported in part by a Grant in Aid for Miscellaneous Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Japan.

KYOTO UNIVERSITY, JAPAN

[COMMUNICATION No. 1928 FROM THE KODAK RESEARCH LABORATORIES]

## **Pyrolysis of Organic Carbonates**

## J. L. R. WILLIAMS, K. R. DUNHAM, AND T. M. LAAKSO

### *Received October 7, 1957*

A study of the pyrolysis of organic carbonates in a flow system has been carried out to determine the utility of the reaction for the introduction of unsaturation into aliphatic chains.

A comparison of the ease of decomposition of ethyl carbonates and acetates of  $\alpha$ -phenethyl alcohol and  $\beta$ -phenethyl alcohol has indicated that the four esters can be arranged into the following order of pyrolytic instability:  $300^\circ$ :  $\beta$ -acetate  $\simeq$  $\beta$ -carbonate  $\simeq \alpha$ -acetate  $\simeq \alpha$ -carbonate;  $400^\circ$ :  $\beta$ -acetate  $\lt \beta$ -carbonate  $\lt \alpha$ -acetate  $\lt \alpha$ -carbonate;  $500^\circ$ :  $\beta$ -acetate  $\lt \beta$ carbonate  $\lt \alpha$ -acetate =  $\alpha$ -carbonate. Pyrolysis of aliphatic bis(ethyl carbonates) led to the formation of dienes as well as a number of intermediate products. composite that the four esters can be arranged<br>whold has indicated that the four esters can be arranged<br>whonate  $\approx \alpha$ -acetate  $\approx \alpha$ -carbonate, Pyrolysis of aliph<br>umber of intermediate products.<br>in another study,<sup>1</sup> the

In another study,<sup>1</sup> the disproportionation of unsymmetrical carbonates to form symmetrical carbonates was studied. Formation of the symmet-

$$
2\mathrm{ArOCO}_{2}\mathrm{C}_{2}\mathrm{H}_{5} \xrightarrow[\text{catalyst}]{\sim} \mathrm{ArOCO}_{2}\mathrm{Ar} + (\mathrm{C}_{2}\mathrm{H}_{5}\mathrm{O})_{2}\mathrm{CO}
$$

rical carbonates depended on the nature of the group, Ar, and the catalyst.

Ethyl  $\beta$ -phenethyl carbonate underwent a side reaction to form considerable amounts of styrene, rather than the symmetrical carbonate. The catalyzed djsproportionations were carried out at *250°,* a temperature approaching the range in which esters pyrolyze to form olefins and acids. It was decided to investigate to what extent the formation of styrene was due to the noncatalyzed pyrolysis<br>  $\overline{C}$ <br>  $\overline{C}$ <br>  $\overline{C}$ reaction.

**(1)** J. **1,. R.** Williams, D. D. Reynolds, K. R. Dunham, and J. F. Tinker, unpublished results.

 $C_6H_6CH=CH_2 + C_2H_6OH + CO_2$  $\mathrm{C}_6\mathrm{H}_4\mathrm{CH}_2\mathrm{CH}_2\mathrm{O} \overset{\parallel}{\mathrm{COC}}_{2}\mathrm{H}_5 \longrightarrow$ 

This route is apparently favored over formation of ethylene and  $\beta$ -phenethyl alcohol, since no  $\beta$ phenethyl alcohol: was isolated from the pyrolyses mixtures.

**A** comparison of acetate and carbonate pyrolyses has been included in this work. It is hoped that carbonic ester pyrolysis will serve as an acid-free pyrolysis system, for use in the preparation of unsaturates bearing acid sensitive functional groups.

*A. Pyrolyses* of *phenethyl alcohol esters.* Pyrolyses of the acetates and ethyl carbonates of  $\alpha$ -phenethyl alcohol and  $\beta$ -phenethyl alcohol indicate that the carbonate structure undergoes pyrolytic rupture under conditions similar to those used for the acetate unit. Both the  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -esters of the two alcohols pyrolyze only very slowly in the vicinity of 300" while the pyrolysis rates of both the acetates and the carbonates of the  $\alpha$ -alcohol increase more rapidly with increase in temperature than do the  $\beta$ -alcohol esters. The four esters can be arranged into the following order of pyrolytic instability:

300°  $\beta$ -acetate  $\approx \beta$ -carbonate  $\approx \alpha$ -acetate  $\approx \alpha$ -carbonate 400°  $\beta$ -acetate  $\lt \beta$ -carbonate  $\lt \alpha$ -carbonate 500°  $\beta$ -acetate  $\lt \beta$ -carbonate  $\lt \alpha$ -acetate =  $\alpha$ -carbonate

These relationships indicate that at 300° there is very little difference in pyrolytic stability between the carbonates and the acetates of *a*phenethyl alcohol and  $\beta$ -phenethyl alcohol since all four esters decompose to the extent of less than one per cent. In the presence of catalysts, considerable decomposition has been shown to occur' at **250".** At 400°, however, the esters decompose at different rates as a result of two structural features: *(1)* acetate *vs.* carbonate structure, and *(2)* primary alcohol ester *vs.* a secondary alcohol ester. The 400" pyrolyses indicate that although the primary esters are more stable than the secondary esters, there is, nevertheless, a difference between the stability of the carbonate structure and the acetate structure in both the  $\alpha$ - and the  $\beta$ -series. At 500°, the secondary ester structure contributes much more to the instability than does the difference between carbonate and acetate structures. However, in the primary ester system at 500°, the carbonate structure causes greater instability than does the acetate.

The pyrolyses work was extended to other carbonic esters to investigate the effect of structure and the general synthetic utility.

*B. Pyrolysis* of *1,5-bis(ethoxycarbonyloxy)pentane.*  Following are the products isolated from the pyrolysis of **1,5-bis(ethoxycarbonyloxy)pentane** :

tice, however, both reaction sequences proceed simultaneously, leading to the products just outlined. It would be expected that, under different flow rates and temperatures, the ratios of the various products would be shifted.

*C. Pyrolysis of 1 ,lo-bis(ethoxycarbony1oxy)decane.*  Pyrolysis of **1,lO-bis(ethoxycarbony1oxy)decane**  under conditions identical with those used for 1,5-bis(ethoxycarbonyloxy)pentane led to the formation of two additional types of products, the mono-olefin-monocarbonate and the carbonate-<br>carbinol.<br>C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>s</sub>OCO<sub>2</sub>(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>10</sub>OCO<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>  $\longrightarrow$ <br>C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>3</sub>OCO<sub>2</sub>(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub> CH<sub>2</sub> 3.4% carbinol.





As in the case of 1,5-bis(ethoxycarbonyloxy)pentane, the major product (highest conversion) was the olefinic carbinol, The isolation of the monoolefin-monocarbonate and the carbonate-carbinol would indicate a somewhat slower decomposition in the case **of** the ten-carbon system.

D. *Pyrolysis* of *1 ,S-bis(ethoxycarbonyloxy)-2,2*  dimethylpropane. Pyrolysis of 1,3-bis(ethoxycar**bonyloxy)-2,2-dimethylpropane** caused cyclization of the carbonate structure with elimination of ethyl carbonate or its equivalents, to form **2,6 dioxa-4,4-dimethylcyclohexanone** in **51.5%** yield.





During the pyrolysis of 1,5-bis(ethoxycarbonyloxy)pentane, there is competition between the two reaction sequences which can introduce double bonds into either the five-carbon chain or the twocarbon chain. Formation of ethylene will lead to the formation of the alcohol or diol in the five-carbon chain.

 $2CH_2=CH_2 + HOCH_2(CH_2)_3CH_2OH$  $C_2H_5OCO_2CH_2(CH_2)_3CH_2OCO_2C_2H_5$  $2C_2H_5OH + CH_2=CHCH_2CH=CH_2$ extreme cases  $+$  CO<sub>2</sub>

Formation of ethanol requires introduction of double bonds into the five-carbon chain. In prac-

*E. Pyrolysis of allyl ethyl carbonate.* The reaction mixture produced by the pyrolysis of allyl ethyl carbonate failed to yield any clean-cut fractions upon distillation. The fractions were shown, by infrared spectroscopy and mass spectrometry, to consist of the following components:

 $CH<sub>2</sub>=CHCH<sub>2</sub>OCO<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>$   $\longrightarrow$ 





# TABLE I **STARTING MATERIALS**

<sup>a</sup> Beilstein, 6, 476 (1923). <sup>b</sup> Beilstein, 6, 479 (1923). <sup>c</sup> P. Schviny and S. Sabetay, *Bull. soc. chim. France*, 43, 859 (1928). <sup>d</sup> D. D. Reynolds and J. Van Den Berghe (Eastman Kodak Co.), U. S. Patent 2,789,968 (1 Dannenberg, U.S. Patent 2,595,214 (1952).



TABLE II PYROLYSIS PRODUCTS

<sup>a</sup> P. Krogerman, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 52, 5060 (1930).  $\delta$  Org. Syntheses, 25, 84 (1945).  $\delta$  N. Demjanow and M. Dojarenko, Ber., 40, 2589 1907).  $\delta$  V. Meyer and P. Petrenko-Kritschenko, Ber., 25, 3304 (1892).  $\delta$  J.

Pyrolytic decomposition of allyl ethyl carbonate apparently proceeds more easily through the route involving formation of ethylene and allyl alcohol than that of ethyl alcohol and allene since no allene was found to be present in the pyrolyzate. Formation of diethyl carbonate in small amounts can only be explained by the possibility of the interchange of ethanol with allyl ethyl carbonate. Such an equilibrium would not be favored on the basis of volatility at the temperature of pyrolysis, but may possibly have occurred during the distillation of the products. The isolation of ethanol would indicate that allene may be formed in very small amounts or, more probably, that diethyl carbonate was decomposed.

#### **EXPERIMENTAL**

*Apparatus and procedure.* Pyrolysis of the carbonates was carried out by passing the compound through a 25-mm. 0.d. Pyrex tube packed for a distance of 30 inches with Pyrex helices and heated to the desired temperature by means of an electrically controlled furnace. The reactants were pumped into the reaction zone by means of a Corsen-Ceverni bellows pump. The pyrolyzates were collected in traps cooled by Dry Ice and distilled through either a **12**  inch Vigreux-type column or a 12inch helices-packed column, depending on the boiling points of the products. Ethylene was detected by means of a gas trap containing a carbon tetrachloride solution of bromine. Carbon dioxide was detected using a lime-water trap. The percentage figures represent the composition of the pyrolyzates.

*Intermediates.* The physical constants of the starting materials are listed in Table I, and those of the products in Table **11.** Literature values for both groups of known materials are listed therein, for convenience of comparison.

#### *Pyrolyses*

*A. Pyrolysis of a-phenethyl acetate, 8-phenethyl acetate, ethyl α-phenethyl carbonate, and ethyl β-phenethyl carbonate.* Pyrolysis of the compounds was carried out at 300°, 400°, and  $500^\circ$  in the system described in the preceding paragraphs at the flow rates shown in Table 111.

### TABLE **I11**

FLOW RATES **FOR** ESTER PYROLYSIS (MOLES PER HOUR)



Table IV lists the conversions to styrene per pass, determined by iodometric titration.

TABLE IV



*B. Pyrolysis* of *1,5-bis(ethosycarbonyloxy)pentane.* Pyrolysis temperature, 500°; flow rate, 0.28 mole/hr.

Products: (1) 1,4-pentadiene, b.p. 25.5°,  $n_{\rm p}^{25}$  1.3890, 8.6%; (2) ethylene detected as the dibromide; (3) ethanol, b.p. 78.2", *ny* 1.3625, 23.5%; (4) 5-hydroxy-l-pentene, b.p. 37-39" (0.45 mm.), *ng* 1.4230, 30.2%; (5) 1,5-dihydroxypentane, b.p. 86-91° (0.4 mm.),  $n_{\text{D}}^{25}$  1.4470, 7.6%; (6) carbon dioxide.

C. *Pyrolysis* of *1 ,IO-bis(ethoxycarbony1osy)decane.* Pyrolysis temperature,  $500^{\circ}$ ; flow rate, 0.28 mole/hr.

Products: (1) ethylene, detected as the dibromide; (2) ethanol, b.p. 78.7°,  $n_{\text{D}}^{25}$  1.3648, 4.7%; (3) 1,9-decadiene, b.p.  $60^{\circ}$  (15 mm.),  $n_{\text{D}}^{25}$  1.4297, 10.6%; (4) 10-hydroxy-1-decane, b.p.  $80-82^{\circ}$  (0.8 mm.),  $n_{\rm D}^{25}$  1.4454, 27.4%; (5) 1,lO-dihydroxydecane, m.p. 70-71", 18% phenyl urethane, m.p. 166-167.5'; (6) 10-ethoxycarbonyloxy-I-decane, b.p. 106-117° (0.5 mm.);  $n_1^{2^s}$  1.4365; 3.4%; (7) 10-ethoxycar-<br>bonyloxy-1-hydroxydecane, b.p. 155-175° (0.5 mm.);  $n_1^{2^s}$ 1.4412; 7.8%; phenylurethane, m.p. 48.5-49.5'; (8) 1,lOdihydroxydecane, m.p. 70-71°.

D. Pyrolysis of 1,3-bis(ethoxycarbonyloxy)-2,2-dimethyl*propane.* Pyrolysis temperature, 500°; flow rate, 0.27 mole/ **hr.** 

Products: (1) 2,6-dioxa-4,4-dimethylcyclohexanone, m.p. 109-110°, 51.5%; (2) ethanol, b.p. 78", *ng* 1.3647; (3) ethylene, detected as the dibromide.

*E. Pyrolysis* of *allyl ethyl carbonate.* Pyrolysis temperature, *500";* flow rate, 0.52 mole/hr.

Products: After distillation into 3 fractions: b.p. 72- 88.5", 88.5", and 68-86" (105 mm.); the following components were determined by infrared and mass spectrometry: (1) ethanol,  $5.5\%$ ; (2) allyl alcohol,  $44.5\%$ ; (3) diethyl carbonate, 2.2%; (4) allyl ethyl carbonate, 47.8% recovery.

ROCHESTER 4, N.Y.